

Keio University Syllabus and Timetable

CHINA, JAPAN AND KOREA COMPARATIVE MANAGEMENT

Subtitle	Advanced learning of comparative management approaches
Lecturer(s)	DRUMMOND, DAMON
Credit(s)	2
Academic Year/Semester	2024 Fall(1st Half)
Day/Period	Mon.1,2
Campus	Mita
Classroom	467
Class Format	Face-to-face classes (conducted mainly in-person)
Registration Number	75107
Faculty/Graduate School	INTERNATIONAL CENTER
Year Level	2, 3, 4
Grade Type	S, A, B, C, D
K-Number	CIN-CO-00243-212-07

[▼ Detail](#)
Course Contents/Objectives/Teaching Method/Intended Learning Outcome

This course reviews international contextual influences and strategic factors which shape organizations and managerial practices across different cultural settings, and highlights cases concerning China, Japan and Korea (CJK).

The aim is to develop skills to review and determine methods for critiquing and recommending solutions for business methods and or strategies for the case situations presented. Most importantly, members should be capable to effectively communicate solutions to issues identified.

Standards to be achieved: Upon completing this class, members should be able to:

1. Know the relationship between strategic and structural aspects of comparative international business organizations;
2. Be able to analyse and identify the various socio-economic and cultural influences to international organization issues; and,
3. Be able to demonstrate an understanding and ability to to apply concepts to find solutions to issues identified.

The course is taught interactively with weekly in class exercises. To make this effective, preclass reading and exercise preparation is required. Team work is expected for some class activities and final exercises.

Active Learning Methods [Description](#)

Lab / Skill-development / On-site training
 Presentations
 Discussions, Debates
 Group work
 Role-playing
 Flipped classroom
 Problem-based learning

Preparatory Study

Before classes begin some understanding of management principles would be advantageous. Reading textbook chapter summaries in advance would be useful.

Every class of 90 minutes will require about three hours of preparations for the average grade class member by reading of the assigned chapter of the textbook and preparations of 2-3 questions to be challenged in class.

Course Plan

Lesson 1

General Course Introduction. Formation of teams and allocation of exercises.

Lesson 2

Introduction to the Approaches in Comparative Management: What is Strategy?

Lesson 3

Evaluating the Internal and External Environment: National Cultures, Conceptual Etic Approach

Lesson 4

Framing China, Japan and Korea

Lesson 5

Evaluating the Internal and External Environment: National Cultures, the Conceptual Etic Approach

Lesson 6

Institutional Diversity and Management

Lesson 7

Integrating Approaches

Lesson 8

Comparative corporate governance

Lesson 9

Operations Management

Lesson 10

Structural, cultural and strategic considerations

Lesson 11

Networks and Clusters of Economic Activity

Lesson 12

Globalization and implications and societal specificity

Lesson 13

Lesson 14

Integrative Case Presentations to the Board of Directors as Consultants

Other

The above will be adjusted as the class progresses

Method of Evaluation

Please refer to the topic guide to be supplied at first class for rubric details.

Assessment Task 1 (Individual) 30%

- Best 10 of 12 Weekly Case Reviews

. Weekly topic class question assignments submitted to online discussion page insertion, (200-350 words).

Assessment Task 2 (Individual) 15%

- Weekly Class and online discussion page feedback participation (Individual)

. Quality and supported contributions to class discussions

Assessment Task 3 (Team) 15%

- Team class leadership presentation reflection exercise (Team)

. Presentation summary and review (3-5 pages) Open submission

Assessment Task 4 (Team) 40%

- Team topic presentation and summary report

. CJK team assignment set on a topic for a Board of Directors class presentation and report summary.

- Total 100%

TAKE NOTICE:

- 1) Any assignment deadlines missed will be penalized 10% immediately and 1% per day thereafter.
- 2) Weekly means each week is assessed independently and not in a summary review. Peer review includes ratings by other class members of your team contribution and impacts upon your individual assessment.
- 3) Members must be successful in each section of the above assessment to complete.

Textbooks

Sorge, A., Noorderhaven, N., Koen, C., 2024, Comparative International Management, Routledge.

Reference Books

Alston, P., 1989, Wa, Guanxi, and Inhwa: Managerial principles in Japan, China, and Korea Business Horizons, 32, 2, March–April, 26-31.

Cho Y., Shin M., Sugiri M., Ota M., Choi M.C., 2016, A Comparison of Leadership Effectiveness among China, Japan, and Korea: Crossvergence Perspective, Academy of Management Proceedings, Vol. 2016, No. 1.

Fitzgerald, R., Rowley, C., 2016, MNCs from the Asia Pacific in the global economy: examples and lessons from Japan, Korea, China and India, Asia Pacific Business Review

Rowley, C., Benson, J., Warner, M., 2004, Towards an Asian model of human resource management? A comparative analysis of China, Japan and South Korea, The International Journal of Human Resource Management 15, 4-5, 917-933.

Rowley, C., Ishikawa, J., & Oh, I., 2018, Changing facets of leadership in East Asia: globalization, innovation and performance in Japan, South Korea and China, Asia Pacific Business Review

Thompson, Peteraf, Gamble, Strickland, 2015, Crafting and Executing Strategy; Concepts and Cases. McGraw-Hill.

Zhang, Y.B., Lin, M.C., Nonaka, A., Beom, K., 2005, Harmony, Hierarchy and Conservatism: A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Confucian Values in China, Korea, Japan, and Taiwan

Communication Research Reports, 22, 2, 107-115.

Other references to be provided

Lecturer's Comments to Students

Comparative management takes a Worldview systematic comparison whereas international management is normally comparing two a few country environments.

There are individual and team components of assessment. Participation and personal performance on in-class exercises and discussions will be viewed as marks for assessment. The quality of participation is as important as the quantity of participation. Keep in mind that it is impossible to participate if you do not ATTEND.

The course is busy but efforts are rewarded by learning.

Written submissions style:

The style guide for all written submissions should be on A4, typed 12 point, 1.5 spaced. Name at top right on front page. All citations and references are written according to APA or Chicago style. The paper should clearly state the chapter and question being answered. Electronic hand in by PDF format is standard.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE First class confirms the class sequence and teamwork. It is essential that you attend or advise the facilitator of you status in advance.

Question/Comments

If there are any queries or comments please feel free to contact the facilitator. (damondrummond@mac.com)